

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.121 as follows (see the accompanying "marked up" version pursuant to 1.121):

*Subc't* 1. (Amended) A board game apparatus designed to acquaint players with a predetermined region as represented on a map, said apparatus comprising:

a board having predefined directions thereon corresponding to directions on said map and including a series of marked areas forming a path extending about said board, each of said marked areas representing a particular locality within said region, having indicia therein identifying said locality, and being disposed on said board to correspond generally with the location of said locality on said map, selected ones of said marked areas on said board being designated as accessible only by indicated modes of transportation;

*B* a plurality of multi-token means each dedicated to a different player and being moveable for transportation along said path, each said multi-token is a parallelepiped object with four sides and two ends, each of at least three of the side surfaces having a visual representation of a different mode of transportation for use by the corresponding player, and the ends of the object also having thereon a respective GO and NO GO visual indication, with one of said GO and NO GO indications to be placed facing a said marked area and the other facing the opposite direction to be viewed to indicate the playing status of the multi-token, each token to

*B1*

be moveable only with respect to marked areas corresponding to localities accessible by the corresponding mode of transportation; and

means for determining the movement of each said multi-token means about said path.

---

Add the following claims:

*Sub c27*

*B2*

24. A board game apparatus as in claim 1, wherein a plurality of multi-tokens can be stacked at a marked area with each multi-token in the stack having its respective GO or NO GO indication displayed.

25. A board game apparatus as in claim 1, wherein each said multi-token is of a different color to identify the player to which it belongs.

---

#### REMARKS

Applicant's attorney, Gordon D. Coplein (Reg. No. 19,165), refers to a telephone conversation with the Examiner on January 4, 2002. During the discussion, the GO and NO GO indications on the multi-token were discussed. The Examiner took the position that this was merely printed matter. It was discussed that the indications had a relationship to the game board and play of the game. The Examiner indicated that if this relationship was added to claim 1 that he would consider it to raise a new issue.